CMPT 354: Database System I

Lecture 8. The E/R Model

Motivation

- How to figure out this database design?
 - Customer = {customerID, firstName, lastName, brithDate, income}
 - Account = {accNumber, type, balance, branchNumber^{FK-Branch}}
 - Owns = {customerID^{FK-Customer}, accNumber^{FK-Account}}
 - Transactions = {transNumber, accNumber FK-Account, amount, date, description}
 - Employee = {sin, firstName, lastName, salary, startDate, branchNumber^{FK-Branch}}
 - PersonalBanker = {customerID^{FK-Customer}, sin^{FK-Employee}}
 - Branch = {branchNumber, branchName, street, numberEmployees, managerSIN^{FK-Employee}, budget}

- What tables to create?
- Which attributes should be added to each table?
- What are the relationships between the tables?

History of E/R Model

- E/R Model (Entity-Relationship Modeling)
 - Codd wrote a long letter criticizing paper
 - Many people suggested him to give up this idea

The entity-relationship model—toward a unified view of data

PPS Chen - ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), 1976 - dl.acm.org
A data model, called the entity-relationship model, is proposed. This model incorporates
some of the important semantic information about the real world. A special diagrammatic
technique is introduced as a tool for database design. An example of database design and
description using the model and the diagrammatic technique is given. Some implications for
data integrity, information retrieval, and data manipulation are discussed. The entityrelationship model can be used as a basis for unification of different views of data: the ...





Dr. Peter Chen

- Why not build DBMS based on E/R Model?
 - No query language proposed
 - Relational DBMS in the 1970's

Outline

• E/R Basics: Entities & Relationships

• E/R Design Considerations

Advanced E/R Concepts

Outline

- E/R Basics: Entities & Relationships
 - Database Design
 - Entities/Entity Sets/Keys/Relationships
- E/R Design Considerations

Advanced E/R Concepts

Database Design

Database design: Why do we need it?

Agree on structure of the database before deciding on a particular implementation

Consider issues such as:

- What entities to model
- How entities are related
- What constraints exist in the domain
- How to achieve good designs

Several formalisms exist

We discuss one flavor of E/R diagrams

1. Requirements Analysis

2. Conceptual Design

3. Logical, Physical, Security, etc.

1. Requirements analysis

- What data is going to be stored?
- What are we going to do with the data?
- Who should access the data?

Technical and nontechnical people are involved

1. Requirements Analysis

2. Conceptual Design

3. Logical, Physical, Security, etc.

2. Conceptual Design

- A <u>high-level description</u> of the database
- Sufficiently <u>precise</u> that technical people can understand it
- But, not so precise that non-technical people can't participate

This is where E/R fits in.


1. Requirements Analysis

2. Conceptual Design

3. Logical, Physical, Security, etc.

3. More:

- Logical Database Design
- Physical Database Design
- Security Design



E/R is a *visual syntax* for DB design which is *precise enough* for technical points, but *abstracted enough* for non-technical people

Entities and Entity Sets


- An entity is an individual object
 - Eg: A specific person or product

- An entity set is a collection of entities of the same type
 - These are what is shown in E/R diagrams as rectangles
 - Eg: Person, Product




Attributes

- An entity set has attributes
 - Represented by ovals attached to an entity set




Example



Keys


- A <u>key</u> is a set of attributes that uniquely identifies an entity.
- Every entity set must have a key


• Denote elements of the primary key by underlining.




The R in E/R: Relationships

• A **relationship** is between two entities






- A mathematical definition:
 - Let A, B be sets
 - *A*={1,2,3}, *B*={*a*,*b*,*c*,*d*}



A mathematical definition:

- Let A, B be sets
 - *A*={1,2,3}, *B*={*a*,*b*,*c*,*d*}
- A x B (the cross-product) is the set of all pairs (a,b)
 - $A \times B = \{(1,a), (1,b), (1,c), (1,d), (2,a), (2,b), (2,c), (2,d), (3,a), (3,b), (3,c), (3,d)\}$




• A mathematical definition:

- Let A, B be sets
 - *A*={1,2,3}, *B*={*a*,*b*,*c*,*d*},



•
$$A \times B = \{(1,a), (1,b), (1,c), (1,d), (2,a), (2,b), (2,c), (2,d), (3,a), (3,b), (3,c), (3,d)\}$$

- We define a <u>relationship</u> to be a subset of A x B
 - $R = \{(1,a), (2,c), (2,d), (3,b)\}$




Company

Product

<u>name</u>
Apple
Microsoft

<u>name</u>	category	price
iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Office	Software	\$120



A <u>relationship</u> between <u>entity sets P and C</u> is a subset of all possible pairs of entities in P and C, with tuples uniquely identified by P and C's keys


Company

name Apple Microsoft

Product

<u>name</u>	category	price
iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Office	Software	\$120





Company C × Product P

<u>C.name</u>	<u>P.name</u>	P.category	P.price
Apple	iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
Apple	iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Apple	Office	Software	\$120
Microsoft	iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
Microsoft	iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Microsoft	Office	Software	\$120

A <u>relationship</u> between entity sets P and C is a subset of all possible pairs of entities in P and C, with tuples uniquely identified by P and C's keys

Company


<u>name</u> Apple

Microsoft

Product

<u>name</u>	category	price
iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Office	Software	\$120





A <u>relationship</u> between entity sets P and C is a subset of all possible pairs of entities in P and C, with tuples uniquely identified by P and C's keys

Company C × Product P

<u>C.name</u>	<u>P.name</u>	P.category	P.price
Apple	iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
Apple	iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Apple	Office	Software	\$120
Microsoft	iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
Microsoft	iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Microsoft	Office	Software	\$120




<u>C.name</u>	<u>P.name</u>
Apple	iPhone 8
Apple	iPad 4
Microsoft	Office

 There can only be one relationship for every unique combination of entities


This follows from our mathematical definition of a relationship- it's a SET!

- This also means that the relationship is uniquely determined by the keys of its entities
- Example: the "key" for Makes (to right) is {Product.name, Company.name}



Relationships and Attributes

• Relationships may have attributes as well.




For example: "since" records when company started making a product

Makes

<u>C.name</u>	<u>P.name</u>	Since		
Apple	iPhone 8	2018.09.01		
Apple	iPhone 8	2017.09.01		
2				

Decision: Relationship vs. Entity?

• **Q:** What does this say?



• A: A person can only buy a specific product once


Purchase

Person.name	Product.name	Date
Jiannan	iPhone 8	2018.10.01
Jiannan	iPhone 8	2018.12.01



Decision: Relationship vs. Entity?

What about this way?



Now we can have multiple purchases per product, person pair!

We can always use **a new entity** instead of a relationship. For example, to permit multiple instances of each entity combination!

Exercise -1


Draw an E/R diagram for geography

Entities

- Country: name, area, population, gdp
- City: name, population, longitude, latitude
- River: name, length
- Sea: name, max depth

Relationships

- City belongs to Country
- River crosses Country
- River ends in Sea



Outline

- E/R Basics: Entities & Relationships
 - Database Design
 - Entities/Entity sets/Keys/Relationships
- E/R Design considerations
 - Relationships cond's: multiplicity, multi-way
 - Design considerations
 - Conversion to SQL
- Advanced E/R Concepts

Multiplicity of E/R Relationships

One-to-one:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 & b \\
2 & 3 & b \\
3 & c \\
d
\end{array}
\]

One-to-many:


\[
\begin{array}{c}
1 & a \\
2 & 3 & b \\
3 & c \\
d
\end{array}
\]

One-to-many:

Many-to-many:


Indicated using arrows

X -> Y means
there exists a
function mapping
from X to Y (recall
the definition of a
function)




Multi-way Relationships

How do we model a purchase relationship between buyers, products and stores?



Arrows in Multiway Relationships


Q: What does the arrow mean?



given a person, can determine what she bought and the store where she bought it

Arrows in Multiway Relationships


Q: What does the arrow mean?



given a store, can determine who shopped there and the product she bought each store sells one product and to one person, ever


Arrows in Multiway Relationships

Q: How do we say that every person shops in at most one store ?




A: Cannot. This is the best approximation. (Why only approximation?)

Converting Multi-way Relationships to Binary



From what we had on previous slide to this - what did we do?

Decision: Multi-way or New Entity + Binary?




- (B) is also useful when we want to add details (constraints or attributes) to the relationship
 - "A person who shops in at most one store"
 - "How long a person has been shopping at a store"
- (A) is useful when a relationship really is between multiple entities
 - Ex: A three-party legal contract

Design Principles

What's wrong with these examples?




person buys only one product, then out




multiple presidents, also may want to require country to have president

Design Principles: What's Wrong?




Design Principles: What's Wrong?




Examples: Entity vs. Attribute

Should address (A) be an attribute?



Or (B) be an entity?



Examples: Entity vs. Attribute

Should address (A) be an attribute?

Addr 1 Addr 2


Employee

How do we handle employees with multiple addresses here?


How do we handle addresses where internal structure of the address (e.g. zip code, state) is useful?

Examples: Entity vs. Attribute

Should address (A) be an attribute?



Or (B) be an entity?



In general, when we want to record several values, we choose new entity

Exercise -2


Draw an E/R diagram for geography

Entities

- Country: name, area, population, gdp
- City: name, population, longitude, latitude
- River: name, length
- Sea: name, max depth

Relationships

- Each city belongs to a single country
- Each river crosses one or several countries
- Each river ends in a single sea




From E/R Diagrams to Relational Schema


Key concept:

Both *Entity sets* and *Relationships* become relations (tables in RDBMS)

From E/R Diagrams to Relational Schema

- An entity set becomes a table
 - Each row is one entity
 - Each row is composed of the entity's attributes, and has the same primary key






Product

<u>name</u>	price	category
iPhone	700	Electronics
Office	150	Software

From E/R Diagrams to Relational Schema

- A relationship *also* becomes a table
 - Add Primary Key
 - Add Foreign Key




Purchased

<u>name</u>	<u>firstname</u>	<u>lastname</u>	date
iPhone	Mike	Jordan	01/01/18
iPhone	Jiannan	Wang	01/03/18
iPad	John	Smith	01/05/18

Exercise -3


From E/R Diagram to Relational Schema

How do we represent this as a relational schema?



Outline

- E/R Basics: Entities & Relationships
 - Database Design
 - Entities/Entity sets/Keys/Relationships
- E/R Design considerations
 - Relationships cond's: multiplicity, multi-way
 - Design considerations
 - Conversion to SQL



Outline


- E/R Basics: Entities & Relationships
 - Database Design
 - Entities/Entity sets/Keys/Relationships
- E/R Design considerations
 - Relationships cond's: multiplicity, multi-way
 - Design considerations
 - Conversion to SQL

Advanced E/R Concepts

- Combing Relations
- Constraints
- Subclass
- Weak Entity Sets

Combing Relations

For many-to-one relationships



Combing Relations

Product

<u>name</u>	category	price
iPhone 8	Electronics	\$700
iPad 4	Electronics	\$300
Office	Software	\$120



<u>P.name</u>	C.name	category	price
iPhone 8	Apple	Electronics	\$700
iPad 4	Apple	Electronics	\$300
Office	Microsoft	Software	\$120

Make

<u>P.name</u>	<u>C.name</u>
iPhone 8	Apple
iPad 4	Apple
Office	Microsoft





Company

<u>name</u>	country
Apple	US
Microsoft	US




<u>name</u>	country
Apple	US
Microsoft	US

• Remember: no separate relations for many-one relationship


Constraints in E/R Diagrams

- Finding constraints is part of the E/R modeling process.
 Commonly used constraints are:
 - Keys
 - Ex: A product name uniquely identifies a product
 - Single-value constraints:
 - Ex: a product made by exactly one company
 - Participation constraints:
 - Ex: all products are made by a company


Keys in E/R Diagrams



Single-Value Constraints




Each product made by at most one company. Some products made by no company?




Each product made by *exactly* one company.

Participation Constraints: Partial vs. Total



Are there products made by no company? Companies that don't make a product?




Bold line indicates *total participation* (i.e. here: all products are made by a company)

Modeling Subclasses


Some objects in a class may be special

- Define a new class?
 - But what if we want to maintain connection to current class?
- Better: define a subclass
 - *Ex:*




We can define **subclasses** in E/R!

Modeling Subclasses



Child subclasses contain all the attributes of *all* of their parent classes <u>plus</u> the new attributes shown attached to them in the E/R diagram

Understanding Subclasses



• Think in terms of records; ex:

Product

name price


SoftwareProduct

name price

HardwareProduct

name price weight

Subclasses to Relations



IsA Review

If we declare A IsA B then every A is a B

- We use IsA to
 - Add descriptive attributes to a subclass
 - To identify entities that participate in a relationship

Modeling UnionTypes With Subclasses

Person

FurniturePiece


Company

Say: each piece of furniture is owned either by a person, or by a company

Modeling UnionTypes With Subclasses


Say: each piece of furniture is owned either by a person or by a company

Solution 1. Acceptable, but imperfect (What's wrong?)




Modeling UnionTypes With Subclasses

Solution 2: better (though more laborious)



Weak Entity Sets


Entity sets are <u>weak</u> when their key comes from other classes to which they are related.



"Introduction to database" vs. "The SFU introduction to database"


Weak Entity Sets

Entity sets are <u>weak</u> when their key comes from other classes to which they are related.



- cname is a <u>partial key</u> (denote with dashed underline).
- University is called the supporting entity set
- Offer is called the <u>supporting relationship</u>

Weak Entity Sets to Relations



Course(cname, uname, textbook)

University(uname)

Offering(cname, Course.uname, University.uname)

E/R Summary

E/R Basics: Entities & Relationships

- Database Design
- Entities/Entity Sets/Keys/Relationships

E/R Design considerations

- Relationships cond's: multiplicity, multi-way
- Design considerations
- Conversion to SQL

Advanced E/R Concepts

- Combing Relations
- Constraints
- Subclass
- Weak Entity Sets

Acknowledge

- Some lecture slides were copied from or inspired by the following course materials
 - "W4111: Introduction to databases" by Eugene Wu at Columbia University
 - "CSE344: Introduction to Data Management" by Dan Suciu at University of Washington
 - "CMPT354: Database System I" by John Edgar at Simon Fraser University
 - "CS186: Introduction to Database Systems" by Joe Hellerstein at UC Berkeley
 - "CS145: Introduction to Databases" by Peter Bailis at Stanford
 - "CS 348: Introduction to Database Management" by Grant Weddell at University of Waterloo