## CMPT 354: Database System I

Lecture 7. Basics of Query Optimization

## Why should you care?

## Spark

## https://databricks.com/glossary/catalyst-optimizer

At the core of Spark SQL is the Catalyst optimizer, which leverages advanced programming language features (e.g. Scala's pattern matching and quasi quotes) in a novel way to build an extensible query optimizer.


## Goetz Graefe

## 2017 SIGMOD Edgar F. Codd Innovations

Award

## Query Processing Steps



## IBM System R Optimizer

- First implementation of a query optimizer
- Make people believe that the DBMS can beat a human developer
- A lot of the concepts are still used today


Access path selection in a relational database management system https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=582099
by PG Selinger - 1979 - Cited by 2585 - Related articles
In a high level query and data manipulation language such as SQL, requests are stated nonprocedurally, without reference to access paths. This paper ...
Abstract • Authors • References • Cited By

## How to build a query optimization?

1. Plan Space

- Figure out all possible query plans

```
Too large, must
be pruned
```

2. Cost Estimation

- Estimate the cost of each plan

3. Search Algorithm

- Find the best plan


## Outline

- Recap of Logical Optimization
- Selection Pushdown
- Projection Pushdown
- Physical Optimization
- Join Algorithms
- Selectivity Estimation


## Translating to RA

```
R(A,B) S(B,C) T(C,D)
```

```
SELECT R.A,S.D
FROM R,S,T
WHERE R.B = S.B
AND S.C = T.C
AND R.A < 10;
```

$$
\Pi_{A, D}\left(\sigma_{A<10}(T \bowtie(R \bowtie S))\right)
$$



## Optimizing RA Plan



## Optimizing RA Plan



## Optimizing RA Plan



## Optimizing RA Plan

$R(A, B) \quad S(B, C) \quad T(C, D)$

## We eliminate B earlier!

```
SELECT R.A,S.D
FROM R,S,T
WHERE R.B = S.B
    AND S.C = T.C
    AND R.A < 10;
```

$\Pi_{A, D}\left(T \bowtie \Pi_{A, c}\left(\sigma_{A<10}(R) \bowtie S\right)\right)$


## Outline

- Physical Optimization
- Join Algorithms
- Histogram


## Join Algorithms

- Nested loop Join
- Hash Join
- Sort-merge join

Student

| sname | ulD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mike | 0 |
| Joe | 1 |
| Alice | 0 |
| Marry | 1 |
| Bob | 0 |
| Tim | 2 |

## University

| uID | uname |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | SFU |
| 1 | UBC |
| 2 | UT |

## Student $\ltimes$ University

## Dive into

Nested Loop Joins

## Notes

- Cost $=\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CPU}+$ Network

| 10 | CMPT | 345 | SP 2018 | Jiannan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | CMPT | 454 | FA 2018 | Martin |

- "IO aware" algorithms
- We will focus on I/O

| 30 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 40 | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 |  |  |  |  |

- $T(R)=\#$ of tuples in $R$
- $P(R)=\#$ of pages in $R$

| 70 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Page 4 |  |  |  |

## Nested Loop Join (NLJ)

Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ :
for $r$ in R:
for $s$ in $S$ :
if $r[A]==s[A]:$
yield ( $r$,s)

## Nested Loop Join (NLJ)

## Cost:

## Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ : <br> for $r$ in $R$ : <br> for $s$ in $S$ : <br> if $r$ [A] $==s[A]:$ yield ( $r, s$ )

$$
P(R)
$$

1. Loop over the tuples in $R$

Note that our IO cost is based on the number of pages loaded, not the number of tuples!

## Nested Loop Join (NLJ)

Cost:
Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ :

$$
P(R)+T(R) * P(S)
$$

for $r$ in R:
for $s$ in $S$ :
if $r[A]==s[A]:$ yield ( $r$,s)

1. Loop over the tuples in $R$
2. For every tuple in $R$, loop over all the tuples in $S$

Have to read all of $S$ from disk for every tuple in $R$ !

## Nested Loop Join (NLJ)

Cost:

> Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ S on $A$ :
> for $r$ in R:
> for $s$ in $S$ :
> if $r[A]==s[A]:$ yield $(r, s)$

$$
P(R)+T(R) * P(S)
$$

1. Loop over the tuples in $R$
2. For every tuple in R, loop over all the tuples in $S$
3. Check against join conditions

Note that NLJ can handle things other than equality constraints... just check in the if statement!

## Nested Loop Join (NLJ)

## Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ :

## for $r$ in R:

## for $s$ in $S$ :

if $r$ [A] $==s[A]:$ yield ( $r, s$ )

Cost:

$$
P(R)+T(R) * P(S)+O U T
$$

1. Loop over the tuples in $R$
2. For every tuple in R, loop over all the tuples in $S$
3. Check against join conditions
4. Write out (to page, then when page full, to disk)

Is this the same as a cross product?

## Nested Loop Join (NLJ)

Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ :
for $r$ in R:
for $s$ in $S$ :
if $r[A]==s[A]:$ yield ( $r$,s)

Cost:
cost:

$$
P(R)+T(R) * P(S)+O U T
$$

What if R ("outer") and S
("inner") switched?


Outer vs. inner selection could make a huge differenceDBMS needs to know which relation is smaller!

## IO-Aware Approach

## Block Nested Loop Join (BNLJ)

Given B+1 pages of memory
Cost:

Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ :

## for each $B-1$ pages $p r$ of $R$ :

for page $p s$ of $S$ : for each tuple r in pr: for each tuple $s$ in $p s:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { if } r[A]=s[A]: \\
\text { yield }(r, s)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{P}(R)$

1. Load in B-1 pages of $R$ at a time (leaving 1 page each free for S \& output)

Note: There could be some speedup here due to the fact that we're reading in multiple pages sequentially however we'll ignore this here!

## Block Nested Loop Join (BNLJ)

Given $B+1$ pages of memory

Cost:
$\mathrm{P}(R)+\frac{P(R)}{B-1} P(S)$

1. Load in B-1 pages of $R$ at a time (leaving 1 page each free for $S$ \& output)
2. For each ( $B-1$ )-page segment of $R$, load each page of $S$

Note: Faster to iterate over the smaller relation first!

## Block Nested Loop Join (BNLJ)

Given $B+1$ pages of memory
Cost:

$$
\mathrm{P}(R)+\frac{P(R)}{B-1} P(S)
$$

for page $p s$ of $S$ : for each tuple r in pr: for each tuple $s$ in $p s:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { if } r[A]==s[A]: \\
\text { yield }(r, s)
\end{gathered}
$$

Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ :
for each B-1 pages pr of R:

## Block Nested Loop Join (BNLJ)

Given $B+1$ pages of memory
Cost:

Compute $\mathrm{R} \bowtie$ Son $A$ :
for each $B-1$ pages pr of R: for page $p s$ of $S$ : for each tuple r in pr:
for each tuple $s$ in $p s:$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { if } r[A]==s[A]: \\
\text { yield }(r, s)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}(R)+\frac{P(R)}{B-1} P(S)+\text { OUT }
$$

1. Load in B-1 pages of $R$ at a time (leaving 1 page each free for $S$ \& output)
2. For each (B-1)-page segment of $R$, load each page of $S$
3. Check against the join conditions
4. Write out

## BNLJ vs. NLJ: Benefits of IO Aware

- NLJ
- Read all of S from disk for every page of R
- BNLJ
- Read all of S from disk for every (B-1)-page segment of $R$



## BNLJ vs. NLJ: Benefits of IO Aware

- Example:
- R: 500 pages
- S: 1000 pages
- 100 tuples / page
- We have 12 pages of memory $(B=11)$
- NLJ: Cost $=500+\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ * $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}=\mathbf{5 0}$ Million IOs
- BNLJ: Cost $=500+\frac{500 * 1000}{10}=\mathbf{5 0}$ Thousand IOs

A very real difference from a small change in the algorithm!

## Outline

- Histogram


## Motivation

- Imagine you build an index on name, and then run the following queries

```
SELECT sID
FROM Student
WHERE name = "Mike"
```

Will query optimizer use the index? Yes!

- Imagine you build an index on age, and then run the following queries

```
SELECT sID
FROM Student
WHERE 10 < age < 15
```

Will query optimizer use the index? It depends.

## Why Selectivity Estimation?

## 2014



## IS QUERY OPTIMIZATION A "SOLVED" PROBLEM? <br> $\equiv$ Databases

Guy Lohman, IBM DB2 (40 years' experience)
"The root of all evil, the Achilles Heel of query optimization, is the estimation of the size of intermediate results, known as cardinalities."

Multiple research groups consistently reported that learned cardinality estimators show very impressive results


## Histograms

- A histogram is a set of value ranges ("buckets") and the frequencies of values in those buckets occurring
- How to choose the buckets?
- Equiwidth \& Equidepth
- Turns out high-frequency values are very important


## Example

## Frequency



How do we compute how many values between 8 and 10 ?
(Yes, it's obvious)

Problem: counts take up too much space!

## Full vs. Uniform Counts



How much space do the full counts (bucket_size=1) take?

How much space do the uniform counts (bucket_size=ALL) take?

## Fundamental Tradeoffs

- Want high resolution (like the full counts)
- Want low space (like uniform)
- Histograms are a compromise!

So how do we compute the "bucket" sizes?

## Equi-width



All buckets roughly the same width

## Equidepth



## Histograms

- Simple, intuitive and popular
- Parameters: \# of buckets and type
- Can extend to many attributes (multidimensional)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SELECT sID } \\
& \text { FROM Student } \\
& \text { WHERE } 10 \text { < age < } 15 \text { AND gpa > } 3.5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Maintaining Histograms

- Histograms require that we update them!
- Typically, you must run/schedule a command to update statistics on the database
- Out of date histograms can be terrible!
- There is research work on self-tuning histograms and the use of query feedback
- Oracle 11g


## Nasty example



1. we insert many tuples with value $>16$
2. we do not update the histogram
3. we ask for values > 20?

## Compressed Histograms

- One popular approach:

1. Store the most frequent values and their counts explicitly
2. Keep an equiwidth or equidepth one for the rest of the values

> People continue to try all manner of fanciness here wavelets, graphical models, entropy models,...

## Summary

- Logical Optimization
- SQL -- > RA $\rightarrow$ RA Tree
- Selection Pushdown
- Projection Pushdown
- Physical Optimization
- Nested Loop Join / Hash Join / Sort-Merge Join
- I/O Aware Algorithm
- Histogram
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